IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 86 OF 2004

SHRI PAULO EGIDIO LACTANCIO GOMES(SIN.DEC) BY L.RS ....Appellants

Versus

SMT. ANTONETA D'CUNHA AND 9 ORS., ...Respondents

Shri V. A. Lawande, Advocate for the appellants.

Shri Joseph Vaz, Advocate for respondents.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 17th August, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr. Lawande, learned Counsel for the appellants and Mr. Vaz, learned Counsel for the respondents.

The findings by both the Courts below are concurrent in respect of the property owned by the plaintiff. The sale deed does mention the boundaries of the plaintiff's property though it does not give the exact area. On consideration of the evidence, the Courts below recorded that the entire Survey No.5/5 was purchased by the plaintiff. I find no error in consideration of the matter by the Courts below.

In view thereof, I am satisfied that the appeal does not give rise to any substantial question of law. The second appeal is dismissed in limine.

R. M. LODHA, J.